

June 11, 2007

Manuel A & Belmira R. Carvalho  
907 Lake Road  
Tiverton, Rhode Island 02878

Re: Tiverton Zoning Board Relief; Map 3-6, Block/Plat 130, Card/Lot 50

Dear Mr. & Ms. Carvalho:

The following is the decision on your Petition heard by the Zoning Board of Review (the "Board") on June 6, 2007 for a request for a variance from Article V, Section 1. and Article V, Section 1 of the Tiverton Zoning Ordinance (the "Zoning Ordinance") to subdivide the existing parcel of land located at 907 Lake Road, Tiverton, Rhode Island, at Map 3-6, Block/Plat 130, Card/Lot 50 (the "Premises"), into two lots, leaving a barn closer to the south side yard, a house closer to the front yard, two sheds closer to the north side yard of proposed lot 1 and creating proposed lot 2 with less than required lot width than is currently permitted in an R80 district.

After the testimony was completed at the public hearing for which due notice was given and a record kept, and after having viewed the premises and the surrounding area, the Board, taking into consideration its knowledge and expertise and after taking into consideration all of the testimony at the public hearing, makes the following findings:

1. That the Premises contains approximately 360,828 square feet of land area, more or less, and is zoned R80 residential.
2. That the existing contains two dwelling houses with detached farm buildings.
3. The petitioner testified that the existing Premises was proposed to be subdivided into two parcels; one parcel would retain a dwelling house and the detached farm buildings, while the other parcel would retain the other dwelling only. The proposal requires variance relief from the Board, pursuant to Article V, Section 1. of the Zoning Ordinance.
4. The petitioner testified that the proposal would better meet the current zoning requirements, even though some existing detached farm buildings would need setback relief.
5. The petitioner also testified that the proposal would be in keeping with the development in the surrounding area, would not negatively impact any nearby property owners and was the smallest addition necessary.
6. No objections were raised to the proposed alterations.
7. The Board concurred with the factual statements and opinions of the petitioner, whose information, analysis and conclusions were found credible and are made a part of the record. No objections were presented by any abutters.

Based on the foregoing, the Board made a motion to approve the zoning relief application. After deliberations on the application, the Board voted unanimously to grant the application for the necessary variances, as follows:

- a. Special conditions and circumstances exist which are special and peculiar to the land or structure involved, and which are not applicable to other lands or structures in the same zoning district, and are not due to a physical or economic disability of the petitioner.
- b. Issuance of the requested relief will not be contrary to the public interest, and that, owing to special or peculiar site or structural conditions, literal enforcement of the provisions of this ordinance would result in an unnecessary hardship on the petitioner.
- c. The unnecessary hardship, which the petitioner seeks to avoid, has not been imposed by any prior action of the petitioner and is not based purely for monetary gain or loss.
- d. The granting of the requested variance will not alter the general character of the surrounding area or impair the intent or purpose of the zoning ordinance or the comprehensive plan upon which the ordinance is based.
- e. Relief from the provisions of this ordinance is the least relief necessary to remove the unnecessary hardship.
- f. That nonconforming use of neighboring lands, structures or buildings in the same district, and permitted use of lands, structures or buildings in an adjacent district did not form the grounds for the application of this variance request.
- g. That the hardship that will be suffered by the petitioner of the subject property if the dimensional variance is not granted amounts to more than a mere inconvenience.

This petition is granted by the Board with the following stipulations and conditions:

1. That this decision must be recorded in the Land Evidence Records in the Town Clerk's Office before a building permit/certificate of occupancy is issued. (Please note that the appeal period (20 days) begins when said decision is recorded and posted with the Town Clerk's Office).
2. That the relief is limited to the plans and representations made by the petitioner to the Board, except that changes to the approved plan may be made without approval of the Zoning Board of Review if needed to comply with either the building or fire codes.
3. That this grant shall be activated with a building permit/certificate of occupancy, within one (1) year, unless extended by the Board, or it shall become void.

Present this letter to the Building Inspector when applying for the necessary permits.

Very truly yours,

David Collins, Chairman  
Tiverton Zoning Board of Review

Recorded 6-11-07 214pm Book 1146 pages 24 & 25